

Talk:Polypropylene breast implants

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

WikiProject Medicine (Rated Stub-class, Mid-importance)



This article is within the scope of **WikiProject Medicine**, which recommends that medicine-related articles follow the Manual of Style for medicine-related articles and that biomedical information in any article use high-quality medical sources. Please visit the project page for details or ask questions at *Wikipedia talk:WikiProject Medicine*.

Stub

This article has been rated as **Stub-Class** on the project's quality scale.

Mid

This article has been rated as **Mid-importance** on the project's importance scale.

WikiProject Body Modification (Rated Stub-class, Low-importance)



This article is within the scope of **WikiProject Body Modification**, a collaborative effort to improve the coverage of body modification on Wikipedia. If you would like to participate, please visit the project page, where you can join the discussion and see a list of open tasks.

Stub

This article has been rated as **Stub-Class** on the project's quality scale.

Low

This article has been rated as **Low-importance** on the project's importance scale.

Contents

- 1 A return?
- 2 Error?
- 3 When?
- 4 The string
- 5 First reference link seems broken
- 6 Complications

A return?

Whats is the status on the return of these implants? Is the FDA going to continue to review it? What has to be done for them to return to the American market?

(response from Rusty0101) - as I understand it, the FDA decision was essentially 'final' regarding this procedure. i.e. they are not likely to review the decision, as the decision was based upon the observation that the results of the procedure did not provide what they determined was a healthy condition for the patient. I suspect that if someone was able to show them that the results were healthy, and did not require ongoing medical support, they might take the decision under advisement for review. I would suspect that such a review would not be started while the starlets of the porn industry who have had the procedure are still demonstrating additional growth. Alternatively if it can be shown that the procedure results in a stable result that does not continue growing at some point, and that point is acceptable to the general population (the average parent would be comfortable with their child's teacher having had this procedure as an example) they might consider reviewing the decision. I wouldn't recommend holding your breath for such a review.

Rusty 16:38, 10 February 2007 (UTC)

Error?

many of the references I've seen to these implants indicate that the material of the strings is polypropylene, not Polyurethane, Unfortunately I don't see enough information on the relevant wikipedia pages to see if one is a subset of the other, which I suspect one is. One reference is A Board Certified Plastic Surgeon (http://www.aboardcertifiedplasticsurgeonresource.com/breast_implants/string.html) though there are also several other references in adult websites for a few of the women who have these as part of their profession.

Rusty 18:17, 7 February 2007 (UTC)

When?

"several years ago" is useless on the page as time marches ever onwards. Needs a year. WLU 20:30, 18 July 2007 (UTC)

The string

How is the string built? I'd like to see the fibres, since it's amazing it can absorb fluid. I didn't find anything by googling. Is it just basic Polypropylene melted and formed into a string? Thanks a lot. --91.154.52.137 (talk) 17:49, 5 April 2008 (UTC)

First reference link seems broken

Is that first reference link gone? Heh, not like it matters :p —Preceding unsigned comment added by 89.100.38.132 (talk) 15:52, 7 August 2008 (UTC)

Complications

The article mentions medical complications... what are they exactly? —Preceding unsigned comment added by 24.81.90.235 (talk) 07:14, 29 August 2008 (UTC)

the breast implant article mentions these where banned due to "complications" but the article it's self mentions none. —Preceding unsigned comment added by 70.72.153.177 (talk) 05:51, 13 January 2009 (UTC)

These implants don't just cause complications, they seem to rely on one. The continual growth due to "irritation" is a permanent medical issue. The so-called "serum" is effectively like having a weeping sore or abscess within the breasts. Nasty stuff. No wonder these implants were banned. Horrible to think that these breasts are effectively full of pus.JohnC (talk) 21:44, 25 July 2009 (UTC)

"If" you are going to bother to "think", then you would realize that the induced accumulation of lymphatic fluids is not infected (pus) or no woman would have had said procedure, nor would they have been able to retain them for so long, and the longevity of the careers of those with said implants indicates some balance between "pro" versus "con" issues, obviously more "pro", otherwise they would have had reductions performed long ago.

WurmWoode^T 03:30, 25 February 2015 (UTC)

Retrieved from "http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Talk:Polypropylene_breast_implants&oldid=648733827"

Categories: Stub-Class medicine articles | Mid-importance medicine articles
| Stub-Class Body Modification articles | Low-importance Body Modification articles

-
- This page was last modified on 25 February 2015, at 03:30.
 - Text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License; additional terms may apply. By using this site, you agree to the Terms of Use and Privacy Policy. Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the Wikimedia Foundation, Inc., a non-profit organization.